

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/087,494	Applicant(s) COLRAIN ET AL.	
	Examiner DEBBIE M. LE	Art Unit 2168	

All Participants:

Status of Application: pending

(1) DEBBIE M. LE.

(3) _____.

(2) Christopher Brokaw.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 January 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

current rejection of record

Claims discussed:

1,6,7,and 12

Prior art documents discussed:

Huras et al (patent 6,807,540 B2)


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims 1 and 7 as directly resulted in the examiner's amendments/

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)